

Plan Change 42 - Commissioner Panel Requests of the Section 42A Reporting Planner

Below is a list of requests from the Commissioner Panel recorded during the Plan Change 42 hearing, inviting a response from the section 42A planner Craig Sharman in a written right of reply.

Panel Request Reference	Panel Request
1	Section 32AA reporting needed to support section 42A report amendments to district plan provisions (to be proportional to the scale and significance of the amendment proposed), in the form of a report.
2	Update the 'provision cascade' of objectives and policies contained within the Section 32 Evaluation Report, to reflect section 42A report recommended amendments.
3	Prepare a table comparing the Rural Lifestyle Environment provisions (as applied to Centennial Drive) against the General Rural Environment provisions, to 'test' what the range of permitted activities are in the specific context of Centennial Drive RLE locality.
4	Prepare a statement or table to replace paragraph 92 of the section 42A report (which reports on the various submitter requests for additional Rural Lifestyle Environment properties, to more clearly articulate (and to correct paragraph 92) how the RLE criteria was applied to these properties in preparing section 42A recommendations.
5	Prepare a response to the rationale adopted for the 200 metre setback for 'buildings housing farmed animals' (in response to the evidence from Sarah Hunt).
6	Prepare a response to the various Cheal Consultant provision points (presented in evidence from Sarah Hunt).
7	Invitation to consider any further amendments to objectives and policies in response to the Te Kotahitanga o Ngaati Tuwharetoa evidence (presented by George Asher).
8	Contributing to the broader Plan Change 38 'energy cohort' discussions, in respect of energy-related provisions (and reporting back on outcomes in the context of PC42).
9	Providing analysis of the national planning standards definitions of 'rural industry' and 'primary production' and the 'flow on' effects of incorporating these terms into the rural provisions.
10	Related to above, respond to the merits of splitting 'home businesses' from 'commercial and industrial' within the rural provisions and study activity status given the 'avoid' direction within the associated policy applying to not all of these activities.
11	Provide a response to the various Transpower NZ proposals for provisions and points (separate to the wider energy cohort discussions).
12	Analyse the NZ Agricultural Aviation Association scope available in the context of the further submission received from the NZ Helicopter Association relief on the topic of frost fans. Related is whether the use of frost fans is implicit in the national planning standards definition of 'primary production'.
13	Panel requested a response on 4b.2.1 vehicle movements standard and the interpretation of the s42A addition to the forestry exception in the context of how existing use rights would apply.

14	Panel requested a list of primary submitters on 4b.2.1 vehicle movements, and a list of further submitters on Waka Kotahi OS113.6.
15	Panel requested a list of further submitters on the Waka Kotahi submission on the noise control overlay submission point.
16	Panel requested for advice on adequacy of transport network to support RLE at Palmer Mill Road, primarily from the Abley Report.

Notes: An invitation from the panel to form a 'rural provisions cohort' with Sarah Hunt, Jo-Anne Cook-Munro and Lynette Wharfe.

In respect of all of the submitter discussions, the panel instruction is to prepare a 'tracked change' set of agreed provisions amendments; and record disagreement and reasons why.