

Carrie Robinson

From: Nukuhau Plan Change
Subject: FW: Please confirm your attendance at the hearing - Private Plan Change 37 - Nukuhau
Attachments: Attachment F - Transport.pdf

From: Think Taupo <thinktaupo@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, 28 October 2021 3:22 PM
To: Nukuhau Plan Change <NukuhauPlanChange@taupo.govt.nz>
Subject: Re: Please confirm your attendance at the hearing - Private Plan Change 37 - Nukuhau

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links, open attachments, or respond unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe

Carrie,

I have reviewed the latest information as supplied to council from David John Robert Smith (Attachment F - Transport dated 30 September 2021).

Attached so we are clear we are referring to the same document.

I concur with the writer's assessments and conclusions, in particular the following which were the main thrust of my submission, being:

- the request for a roundabout at the realigned Poihipi / Wairakei / Huka roads, and
- the need for a second, larger capacity bridge at the Control Gates Bridge site, before the development is progressed.

Specifically, I support his comments :

4.24
"...a roundabout has potential to have better safety outcomes compared to signals..."
This ties in with TDC's main traffic objective of safety (first), and my submission's extensive list of global studies that show roundabouts are a better solution in many aspects.

6.10
"... I support the consideration of a roundabout at this location..."

6.33
"...roundabouts have an important role in reducing vehicle speeds and managing conflicts..."

7.1
"...I support the consideration of a roundabout at the proposed intersection... "

7.3
"...insufficient capacity in the area of the CGB to accommodate traffic associated with the Plan Change..."

7.4

"...I do not consider it appropriate for residential development to occur until such time as a second bridge is operating...".

I also understand that the consideration of a traffic solution can be assessed later (7.1"...at resource consent stage...") and would support his conclusions from this report to be used in that process.

Is it possible to add my comments as above for the commissioner's attention, and withdraw from the speaking part of the hearing (while remaining in the overall submission process)?

Regards,

Paul Henson,

Winning the information war.

It's not a pandemic, it's an IQ test.